

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,561	07/31/2003	Jaime E. Garcia	JK01243	2593
28268 75	590 11/30/2006		EXAMINER	
THE BLACK & DECKER CORPORATION			DEXTER, CLARK F	
701 EAST JOPI TOWSON, ME	PA ROAD, TW199		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
10 11 5011, 1112	21200		3724	<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 11/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Sol
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- Ju
	10/632,561	GARCIA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Clark F. Dexter	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Section 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 21-25 and 35-41 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 21-25 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 35 and 36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 37-41 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the formula of the following of the following of the drawing of the d	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/632,561

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed on September 18, 2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsiung, pn 6,481,322.

Hsiung discloses a saw with almost every structural limitation of the claimed invention including:

Art Unit: 3724

a support surface with an aperture (e.g., 14) extending therethrough for supporting a workpiece;

a beveling cutting device (e.g., 11, 12) adjustably extending through the support surface aperture, said cutting device for cutting a workpiece, the cutting device being disposed in a plane, the cutting device having a rotational axis and a beveling axis substantially perpendicular to the rotational axis, whereby operation of the cutting device in the workpiece results in the formation of a kerf having a first and a second side in the workpiece;

a first optical emitting device (e.g., 3) adjustably coupled to the table saw and disposed above the support surface to project a first optical indicator substantially aligned with the plane;

wherein the first optical emitting device is configured so as to bevel with the cutting device, such that said first optical indicator is projected to substantially indicate a cutting path of the cutting device along the workpiece;

[claim 36] wherein the first optical emitting device adjustably coupled to the table saw (e.g., see Fig. 1) to project a first optical indicator substantially aligned with the first side of the kerf.

Hsiung lacks the cutting device having the rotational axis disposed below the support surface. However, such rotational support configurations are old and well known in the art and provide various well known benefits including a larger arc of rotation to provide the desired angle of attack and the desired cutting path through a workpiece. Further, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify

Application/Control Number: 10/632,561 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

Hsiung by providing the rotational axis at, above or below the support surface since applicant has not disclosed that having the rotational axis at a specific location (i.e., below the support surface) solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose, and it appears that the saw would perform equally well with the rotational axis disposed at any vertical location relative to the support surface.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 21-25 are allowable over the prior art of record.
- 5. Claims 37-41 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 35 and 36 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/632,561

Art Unit: 3724

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 5

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clark F. Dexter whose telephone number is (571)272-4505. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays, Tuesdays, Thursdays and Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer D. Ashley can be reached on (571)272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,561

Art Unit: 3724

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Clark F. Dexter Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724

cfd

November 27, 2006